

# A brief $q$ -survey from Abel to Zagier

David M. Bradley  
University of Maine

<http://www.math.umaine.edu/~bradley/>

October 2, 2010

# $q$ -Calculus

Let  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  and let  $q \neq 1$ .

**Definition.** The  $q$ -difference operator  $d_q$  is defined by

$$(d_q f)(x) = f(qx) - f(x).$$

**Example.** For the identity map  $\iota$ , since  $\iota(x) = x$ , we have

$$(d_q \iota)(x) = qx - x = (q - 1)x.$$

**Definition.** The  $q$ -derivative operator  $D_q$  is defined by

$$(D_q f)(x) = \frac{(d_q f)(x)}{(d_q \iota)(x)} = \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{(q - 1)x}, \quad x \neq 0.$$

**Notation.** If  $y = f(x)$ , then

$$\frac{d_q y}{d_q x} = (D_q f)(x).$$

# The $q$ -Derivative

Recall that if  $y = f(x)$ , then the  $q$ -derivative is

$$\frac{d_q y}{d_q x} = (D_q f)(x) = \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{(q - 1)x}.$$

If  $f$  is differentiable at  $x \neq 0$ , then we recover the ordinary derivative  $f'(x)$  from the  $q$ -derivative in the limit as  $q \rightarrow 1$ .

To see this, substitute  $q = 1 + h/x$ . Then

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{(q - 1)x} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + h) - f(x)}{h}.$$

# The $q$ -Product Rule

Recall that if  $y = f(x)$ , then the  $q$ -derivative is

$$\frac{d_q y}{d_q x} = (D_q f)(x) = \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{(q - 1)x}.$$

Therefore, the  $q$ -derivative of the product of two functions  $f, g$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & (D_q fg)(x) \\ &= \frac{f(qx)g(qx) - f(x)g(x)}{(q - 1)x} \\ &= \frac{f(qx)[g(qx) - g(x)] + [f(qx) - f(x)]g(x)}{(q - 1)x} \\ &= f(qx)(D_q g)(x) + g(x)(D_q f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

# The $q$ -Integral

**J. Thomae** (1869): Let  $0 < q < 1$ . Then

$$\int_0^1 f(t) d_q t := (1 - q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n f(q^n).$$

**F. H. Jackson** (1910):

$$\int_a^b f(t) d_q t := \int_0^b f(t) d_q t - \int_0^a f(t) d_q t,$$

$$\int_0^b f(t) d_q t := (1 - q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b q^n f(b q^n).$$

If  $f : [0..b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is continuous then

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \int_0^b f(t) d_q t = \int_0^b f(t) dt.$$

Jackson also defined the improper  $q$ -integrals

$$\int_0^{\infty} f(t) d_q t := (1 - q) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^n f(q^n),$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) d_q t := (1 - q) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^n [f(q^n) + f(-q^n)].$$

# Fundamental Theorem of $q$ -Calculus

Suppose  $f : (0, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  and  $0 < x \leq b$ .

The Jackson  $q$ -integral of  $f$  is defined by

$$\int_0^x f(t) d_q t := (1 - q) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x q^j f(x q^j).$$

If there exists  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  such that  $|f(t)t^\alpha|$  is bounded on  $(0, b]$ , then the integral converges to a function  $F(x)$  on  $(0, b]$ .

Additionally,  $F$  is a  $q$ -antiderivative of  $f$ :

$$D_q F(x) := \frac{F(qx) - F(x)}{(q - 1)x} = f(x), \quad 0 < x \leq b.$$

Note that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} D_q F(x) = F'(x),$$

and

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \int_0^x f(t) d_q t = \int_0^x f(t) dt.$$

## The $q$ -analogue of $\alpha$

Recall that if  $y = f(x)$ , then

$$\frac{d_q y}{d_q x} = (D_q f)(x) = \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{(q-1)x}.$$

**Example.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $y = x^\alpha$ . Then

$$\frac{d_q y}{d_q x} = \frac{(qx)^\alpha - x^\alpha}{(q-1)x} = \left( \frac{q^\alpha - 1}{q-1} \right) x^{\alpha-1},$$

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{d_q y}{d_q x} = \frac{dy}{dx} = \alpha x^{\alpha-1}.$$

**Definition.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $q \neq 1$ . The  $q$ -analogue of  $\alpha$  is

$$[\alpha]_q := \frac{q^\alpha - 1}{q - 1}.$$

# The $q$ -Factorial

Define the  $q$ -factorial of a positive integer  $n$  by

$$[n]!_q := \prod_{k=1}^n [k]_q = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1 - q^k}{1 - q} = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + q + \cdots + q^{k-1}).$$

Let  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  denote the set of permutations on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

**Definition.** An *inversion* in a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  is a pair  $(i, j)$  with  $i < j$  and such that  $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$ .

If we expand  $[n]!_q$  in powers of  $q$ :

$$[n]!_q = \sum_{k=0}^{n(n-1)/2} a_k q^k,$$

then

$$a_k = \#\{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n : \sigma \text{ has } k \text{ inversions}\}.$$



## Inversions in Permutations for $\mathfrak{S}_3$

**Definition.** An inversion in a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  is a pair  $(i, j)$  with  $i < j$  and such that  $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$ .

permutation	123	132	213	231	312	321
# inversions	0	1	1	2	2	3

If we expand  $[n]!_q$  in powers of  $q$ :

$$[n]!_q = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + q + \cdots + q^{k-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n(n-1)/2} a_k q^k,$$

then

$$a_k = \#\{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n : \sigma \text{ has } k \text{ inversions}\}.$$

$$[3]!_q = (1+q)(1+q+q^2) = 1+2q+2q^2+q^3.$$

$$a_0 = a_3 = 1, a_1 = a_2 = 2.$$

# $q$ -Binomial Coefficients

Recall the  $q$ -factorial:

$$[n]!_q := \prod_{k=1}^n [k]_q = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1 - q^k}{1 - q}.$$

For  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , the  $q$ -binomial coefficient is

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[n]!_q}{[k]!_q [n-k]!_q}.$$

Note that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} = \binom{n}{k}.$$

We also have

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1 - q^{n-j+1}}{1 - q^j}.$$

**Theorem 1 (G.-C. Rota)** Let  $q$  be a power of a prime positive integer. Then  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$  is equal to the number of  $k$ -dimensional subspaces of the  $n$ -dimensional vector space

$$\mathbf{F}_q^{\oplus n} = \underbrace{\mathbf{F}_q \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{F}_q}_n,$$

where  $\mathbf{F}_q$  is the finite field with  $q$  elements.

**Proof (Sketch).** Fix  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Any  $k$ -dimensional subspace is determined by a basis consisting of  $k$  linearly independent spanning vectors.

There are  $(q^n - 1)(q^n - q) \cdots (q^n - q^{k-1})$  bases for all  $k$ -dimensional subspaces.

Since different bases may span the same subspace, we divide by the number of possible choices of basis of a particular  $k$ -dimensional subspace:

$$(q^k - 1)(q^k - q) \cdots (q^k - q^{k-1}).$$

The quotient is  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ . □

# $q$ -Powers

Let  $0 \leq n \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ .

Define the asymmetric  $q$ -power by

$$(x + y)_q^n := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (x + yq^k).$$

Clearly,

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} (x + y)_q^n = (x + y)^n.$$

**Theorem 2 (Gauss Finite  $q$ -Binomial)** *Let  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $0 \leq n \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Then*

$$(x + y)_q^n = \sum_{k=0}^n q^{k(k-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^{n-k} y^k.$$

Letting  $q \rightarrow 1$ , we deduce

**Corollary 1 (Classical Binomial Theorem)**

$$(x + y)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^{n-k} y^k.$$

# Abel on the Binomial Theorem

“One of the most remarkable series of algebraic analysis is the following:

$$1 + \frac{m}{1}x + \frac{m(m-1)}{1 \cdot 2}x^2 + \frac{m(m-1)(m-2)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3}x^3 + \dots + \frac{m(m-1)\dots(m-n+1)}{1 \cdot 2 \dots n}x^n + \dots$$

When  $m$  is a positive whole number the sum of the series, which is then finite, can be expressed, as is known, by  $(1+x)^m$ . When  $m$  is not an integer, the series goes on to infinity, and it will converge or diverge according as the quantities  $m$  and  $x$  have this or that value. In this case, one writes the same equality

$$(1+x)^m = 1 + \frac{m}{1}x + \frac{m(m-1)}{1 \cdot 2}x^2 + \dots \text{ etc.}$$

It is assumed that the numerical equality will always occur whenever the series is convergent, but this has never yet been proved.”

— **Niels Henrik Abel**, 1802–1829

Recall that for  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $0 \leq n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,

$$(x + y)_q^n := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (x + yq^k), \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1 - q^{n-j+1}}{1 - q^j}.$$

## Theorem 2 (Gauss Finite $q$ -Binomial)

$$(x + y)_q^n = \sum_{k=0}^n q^{k(k-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^{n-k} y^k.$$

## Corollary 2 ( $q$ -Vandermonde Convolution)

$$\begin{bmatrix} a + b \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=0}^n q^{(n-k)(a-k)} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ n - k \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Proof Sketch.** Compare coefficients of  $y^n$  in

$$(1 + y)_q^{a+b} = (1 + y)_q^a (1 + yq^a)_q^b.$$

□

**Remark.** The limiting ( $q \rightarrow 1$ ) case of the  $q$ -Vandermonde Convolution is sometimes referred to as the *Hypergeometric Identity*.

# Hypergeometric Functions

A generalized hypergeometric function has a series representation

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n, \quad \frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n} = \text{rational function of } n.$$

Factor the ratio as

$$\frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n} = \frac{(n + a_1)(n + a_2) \cdots (n + a_\mu) x}{(n + b_1)(n + b_2) \cdots (n + b_\nu)(n + 1)}.$$

Define the shifted factorial

$$(a)_0 := 1, \quad (a)_n = a(a + 1) \cdots (a + n - 1), \quad n \geq 1.$$

If  $c_0 = 1$ , then  $c_n$  has the explicit representation

$$c_n = \frac{(a_1)_n (a_2)_n \cdots (a_\mu)_n}{(b_1)_n (b_2)_n \cdots (b_\nu)_n} \cdot \frac{x^n}{n!},$$

and

$${}_\mu F_\nu \left( \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\mu \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_\nu \end{matrix} \middle| x \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \cdots (a_\mu)_n}{(b_1)_n \cdots (b_\nu)_n} \cdot \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

**Pfaff** (1797): Let  $n$  be a non-negative integer.

$${}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} -n, a, b \\ c, a + b + 1 - c - n \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right) = \frac{(c-a)_n(c-b)_n}{(c)_n(c-a-b)_n}.$$

**Definition.** (Gamma Function): For complex  $z \neq 0, -1, -2, -3, \dots$ ,

$$\Gamma(z) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n! n^z}{z(z+1)\cdots(z+n)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n! n^z}{(z)_{n+1}}.$$

**Gauss** (1813): Let  $\Re(c-a-b) > 0$ . Then

$${}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in Pfaff's formula. Replacing  $n$  by  $n+1$  on the right gives

$$\frac{\boxed{n! n^c}}{(c)_{n+1}} \cdot \frac{\boxed{n! n^{c-a-b}}}{(c-a-b)_{n+1}} \cdot \frac{(c-a)_{n+1}}{\boxed{n! n^{c-a}}} \cdot \frac{(c-b)_{n+1}}{\boxed{n! n^{c-b}}}.$$

□

Pfaff's formula was rediscovered by Saalchütz in 1890.



**Pfaff** (1797): Let  $n$  be a non-negative integer.

$${}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} -n, a, b \\ c, a + b + 1 - c - n \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right) = \frac{(c-a)_n(c-b)_n}{(c)_n(c-a-b)_n}.$$

**Definition.** (Gamma Function): For complex  $z \neq 0, -1, -2, -3, \dots$ ,

$$\Gamma(z) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n! n^z}{z(z+1)\cdots(z+n)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n! n^z}{(z)_{n+1}}.$$

**Gauss** (1813): Let  $\Re(c-a-b) > 0$ . Then

$${}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in Pfaff's formula. Replacing  $n$  by  $n+1$  on the right gives

$$\boxed{\frac{n! n^c}{(c)_{n+1}}} \cdot \boxed{\frac{n! n^{c-a-b}}{(c-a-b)_{n+1}}} \cdot \boxed{\frac{(c-a)_{n+1}}{n! n^{c-a}}} \cdot \boxed{\frac{(c-b)_{n+1}}{n! n^{c-b}}}.$$

□

Pfaff's formula was rediscovered by Saalchütz in 1890.

**Clausen (1828):**

$$\left\{ {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} a, b \\ a + b + 1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| x \right) \right\}^2 = {}_3F_2 \left( \begin{matrix} 2a, 2b, a + b \\ a + b + 1/2, 2a + 2b \end{matrix} \middle| x \right).$$

**Bieberbach (1916):** If  $f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \dots$  is holomorphic and one-to-one in the unit disc, is it true that for each  $n$ ,  $|a_n| \leq n$ ?

**Louis de Branges (1985):** Yes.

The final step in de Branges' proof required knowing that the integral of a certain Jacobi polynomial is non-negative.

The polynomial in question turned out to be a terminating  ${}_3F_2$  to which Clausen's formula applies.

**Ramanujan:**

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1103 + 26390n)(1/4)_n(1/2)_n(3/4)_n}{(n!)^3 (99)^{4n}} = \frac{9801}{2\pi\sqrt{2}}.$$

## Familiar Hypergeometric Functions

For any complex number  $z$ ,

$${}_0F_0\left(\left|z\right.\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!} = e^z.$$

If  $|z| < 1$ , then

$${}_1F_0\left(\begin{matrix} 1 \\ \end{matrix} \middle| z\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1)_n \frac{z^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n = (1 - z)^{-1},$$

$${}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ b \end{matrix} \middle| z\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{a+n-1}{n} z^n = (1 - z)^{-a}.$$

If  $0 < |z| < 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} 1, 1 \\ 2 \end{matrix} \middle| z\right) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n! n!}{(n+1)!} \cdot \frac{z^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n+1} \\ &= z^{-1} \log(1 - z)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

# Familiar Hypergeometric Functions

If  $0 < |z| < 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} 1/2, 1 \\ 3/2 \end{matrix} \middle| -z^2\right) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1/2)_n (1)_n}{(3/2)_n} \cdot \frac{(-z^2)^n}{n!} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{z^{2n}}{2n+1} \\ &= \frac{\arctan z}{z}. \end{aligned}$$

For any complex  $z$ ,

$${}_0F_1\left(\begin{matrix} 1/2 \\ - \frac{z^2}{4} \end{matrix}\right) = \cos z$$

$${}_0F_1\left(\begin{matrix} 3/2 \\ - \frac{z^2}{4} \end{matrix}\right) = \frac{\sin z}{z}.$$

# Basic Hypergeometric Series

**E. Heine** (1846): Let  $|z| < 1$ ,  $|q| < 1$  and  $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ . Consider the series

$$1 + \frac{(1 - q^a)(1 - q^b)}{(1 - q^c)(1 - q)} z + \frac{(1 - q^a)(1 - q^{a+1})(1 - q^b)(1 - q^{b+1})}{(1 - q^c)(1 - q^{c+1})(1 - q)(1 - q^2)} z^2 + \dots$$

Since

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - q^a}{1 - q} = a,$$

Heine's series tends termwise to the Gauss hypergeometric series

$${}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| z\right) = 1 + \frac{ab}{c \cdot 1!} z + \frac{a(a+1)b(b+1)}{c(c+1) \cdot 2!} z^2 + \dots$$

# Basic Hypergeometric Series

**E. Heine** (1846): Let  $|z| < 1$ ,  $|q| < 1$  and  $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ . Consider the series

$$1 + \frac{(1 - q^a)(1 - q^b)}{(1 - q^c)(1 - q)} z + \frac{(1 - q^a)(1 - q^{a+1})(1 - q^b)(1 - q^{b+1})}{(1 - q^c)(1 - q^{c+1})(1 - q)(1 - q^2)} z^2 + \dots$$

Since we'd like to be able to replace  $q^a$ ,  $q^b$ ,  $q^c$  by zero, it is now customary to define the *basic hypergeometric series* by

$${}_2\phi_1 \left( \begin{matrix} a, b \\ c, q \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) = 1 + \frac{(1 - a)(1 - b)}{(1 - c)(1 - q)} z + \frac{(1 - a)(1 - aq)(1 - b)(1 - bq)}{(1 - c)(1 - cq)(1 - q)(1 - q^2)} z^2 + \dots,$$

where now  $c \neq q^{-m}$  for any non-negative integer  $m$ .

# Basic Hypergeometric Series

Recall the basic  ${}_2\phi_1$  for  $c \neq q^{-m}$ ,  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ :

$${}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c, q \end{matrix} \middle| z\right) = 1 + \frac{(1-a)(1-b)}{(1-q)(1-c)}z + \frac{(1-a)(1-aq)(1-b)(1-bq)}{(1-q)(1-q^2)(1-c)(1-cq)}z^2 + \dots$$

Introduce the  $q$ -shifted factorial

$$(a; q)_n := \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - aq^{k-1}), \quad 0 \leq n \leq \infty.$$

Then

$${}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c, q \end{matrix} \middle| z\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a; q)_n (b; q)_n}{(c; q)_n (q; q)_n} z^n.$$

## $q$ -Binomial Theorem

**E. Heine** (1847): For  $|q| < 1$ ,  $|b| < 1$ ,  
 $|z| < 1$ ,

$${}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c, q \end{matrix} \middle| z\right) = \frac{(b; q)_\infty (az; q)_\infty}{(c; q)_\infty (z; q)_\infty} {}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix} c/b, z \\ az, q \end{matrix} \middle| b\right).$$

Taking  $b = c$ , we recover

**H. Rothe** (1811): “ $q$ -binomial theorem”

$${}_1\phi_0\left(\begin{matrix} a \\ q \end{matrix} \middle| z\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} z^n = \frac{(az; q)_\infty}{(z; q)_\infty}.$$



# The $q$ -Binomial Theorem

**H. Rothe** (1811): “ $q$ -binomial theorem”

$${}_1\phi_0\left(\begin{matrix} a \\ q \end{matrix} \middle| z\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} z^n = \frac{(az; q)_{\infty}}{(z; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Letting  $a = q^{\alpha}$  and  $q \rightarrow 1$  yields the ordinary binomial theorem in the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{\alpha})(1 - q^{\alpha+1}) \cdots (1 - q^{\alpha+n-1})}{(1 - q)(1 - q^2) \cdots (1 - q^n)} z^n$$

$$\rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha + n - 1}{n} z^n = (1 - z)^{-\alpha}.$$

# Heine-Roth Implies Gauss

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $z \in \mathbf{C}$ . Define

$$(1+z)_q^\alpha := \frac{(1+z)_q^\infty}{(1+zq^\alpha)_q^\infty} = \frac{(-z; q)_\infty}{(-zq^\alpha; q)_\infty}.$$

For  $0 \leq k \in \mathbf{Z}$  define

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ k \end{bmatrix} := \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1 - q^{\alpha-j+1}}{1 - q^j}.$$

By the Heine-Roth  $q$ -binomial theorem,

$$(1+z)_q^\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{-\alpha}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} (-zq^\alpha)^k.$$

But

$$\frac{(q^{-\alpha}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} (-q^\alpha)^k = q^{k(k-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$(1+z)_q^\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k(k-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ k \end{bmatrix} z^k.$$

# Multiple Zeta Values

**Definition.** (Michael Hoffman 1992, Don Zagier 1994)

$$\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_m) := \sum_{k_1 > \dots > k_m > 0} \prod_{j=1}^m k_j^{-s_j}.$$

The multiple series is absolutely convergent if

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \Re(s_j) > n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

---

Euler ( $m = 2$ ):

$$2\zeta(s, 1) = s\zeta(s+1) - \sum_{j=1}^{s-2} \zeta(s-j)\zeta(j+1),$$

where  $2 \leq s \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

# Period One

For all non-negative integers  $n$ ,

$$\zeta(\{2\}^n) = \frac{\pi^{2n}}{(2n+1)!},$$

$$\zeta(\{4\}^n) = \frac{2^{2n+1} \pi^{4n}}{(4n+2)!},$$

$$\zeta(\{6\}^n) = \frac{6 \cdot (2\pi)^{6n}}{(6n+3)!},$$

$$\zeta(\{8\}^n) = \frac{8 \cdot (2\pi)^{8n}}{(8n+4)!} \times \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{4n+2} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{4n+2} \right\}.$$

More generally, let  $k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  and  $\omega := e^{i\pi/k}$ . Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^{2kn} \zeta(\{2k\}^n) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\sin(\pi x \omega^j)}{\pi x \omega^j}.$$

## Period Two

For all non-negative integers  $n$ ,

$$\zeta(\{3, 1\}^n) = 4^{-n} \zeta(\{4\}^n) = \frac{2\pi^{4n}}{(4n+2)!},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(3, \{1, 3\}^n) &= 4^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^n \zeta(4k+3) \zeta(\{4\}^{n-k}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{2\pi^{4k}}{(4k+2)!} \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^{n-k} \zeta(4n-4k+3), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(2, \{1, 3\}^n) &= 4^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \zeta(\{4\}^{n-k}) \left\{ (4k+1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \zeta(4k+2) - 4 \sum_{j=1}^k \zeta(4j-1) \zeta(4k-4j+3) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

# Multiple $q$ -Zeta Values

M. Kaneko investigated analytic properties of the Riemann  $q$ -zeta function

$$\zeta[s] := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{tk}}{[k]_q^s}, \quad t = s - 1.$$

This suggests that we consider

$$\zeta[s_1, \dots, s_m] := \sum_{k_1 > \dots > k_m > 0} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{q^{(s_j-1)k_j}}{[k_j]_q^{s_j}}.$$

Alternatively, we could let

$$Z[s_1, \dots, s_m] := \sum_{k_1 > \dots > k_m > 0} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{q^{k_j}}{[k_j]_q^{s_j}}.$$

If  $\vec{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_m)$ , then

$$\zeta[\vec{s}; q] = q^{|\vec{s}|} Z[\vec{s}; 1/q], \quad |\vec{s}| := \sum_{j=1}^m s_j.$$

## Period-1 Sums Reduce

**Theorem 3** *If  $n$  is a positive integer and  $s > 1$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} & n\zeta[\{s\}^n] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} \zeta[\{s\}^{n-k}] \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{j} (1-q)^j \zeta[ks-j]. \end{aligned}$$

**Example 1** *With  $n = 2$ , we get*

$$2\zeta[s, s] = \zeta[s]\zeta[s] - (\zeta[2s] + (1-q)\zeta[2s-1]).$$

**Corollary 3** *If  $n$  is a positive integer and  $s > 1$ , then*

$$n\zeta(\{s\}^n) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} \zeta(\{s\}^{n-k}) \zeta(ks).$$

Let  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  denote the group of  $n!$  permutations of  $\langle n \rangle = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

**Theorem 4** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer, and let  $s_j > 1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \zeta \left[ \mathbf{Cat}_{j=1}^n s_{\sigma(j)} \right] &= \sum_{\mathcal{P} \vdash \langle n \rangle} (-1)^{n-|\mathcal{P}|} \prod_{k=1}^{|\mathcal{P}|} (|P_k| - 1)! \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\nu_k=0}^{|P_k|-1} \binom{|P_k|-1}{\nu_k} (1-q)^{\nu_k} \zeta[p_k - \nu_k], \end{aligned}$$

where the outer sum on the right is over all unordered set partitions  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$  of  $\langle n \rangle$ ,  $1 \leq m = |\mathcal{P}| \leq n$ , and  $p_k = \sum_{j \in P_k} s_j$ .

**Corollary 4 (M. Hoffman)**

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \zeta \left( \mathbf{Cat}_{j=1}^n s_{\sigma(j)} \right) \\ = \sum_{\mathcal{P} \vdash \langle n \rangle} (-1)^{n-|\mathcal{P}|} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (|P| - 1)! \zeta \left( \sum_{j \in P} s_j \right). \end{aligned}$$



# Parity Reduction

**Theorem 5** *Let  $m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  and let  $s_1, \dots, s_m$  be real numbers with  $s_1 > 1$ ,  $s_m > 1$ , and  $s_j \geq 1$  for  $1 < j < m$ . Then*

$$\zeta \left[ \mathbf{Cat}_{k=1}^m s_k \right] + (-1)^m \zeta \left[ \mathbf{Cat}_{k=1}^m s_{m-k+1} \right]$$

*can be expressed as a  $\mathbf{Z}[q]$ -linear combination of multiple  $q$ -zeta values of depth less than  $m$ .*

*That is, the coefficients in the linear combination are polynomials in  $q$  with integer coefficients.*

The proof is a relatively straightforward application of the inclusion-exclusion principle.

# A Double Generating Function

## Theorem 6

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^{m+1} v^{n+1} \zeta[m+2, \{1\}^n] \\ &= 1 - \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left\{ u^k + v^k - (u + v + (1-q)uv)^k \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=2}^k (q-1)^{k-j} \zeta[j] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 5** *If  $0 \leq m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$ , then*

$$\zeta[m+2, \{1\}^n] = \zeta[n+2, \{1\}^m].$$

**Corollary 6 ( $q$ -Euler)** *Let  $0 \leq m \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} 2\zeta[m+2, 1] &= (m+2)\zeta[m+3] + (1-q)m\zeta[m+2] \\ & \quad - \sum_{k=2}^{m+1} \zeta[m+3-k] \zeta[k]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, when  $m = 0$  we get  $\zeta[2, 1] = \zeta[3]$ .

The proof of Theorem 6 makes essential use of the basic hypergeometric function

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^a, q^b \\ q^c \end{matrix} \middle| x \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^a)_q^n (1 - q^b)_q^n}{(1 - q^c)_q^n (1 - q)_q^n} x^n, \quad |x| < 1.$$

Routine series manipulations reveal that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+n} [x]_q^{m+1} [y]_q^{n+1} \zeta[m+2, \{1\}^n] \\ = 1 - {}_2\phi_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^{-y}, q^x \\ q^{1+x} \end{matrix} \middle| q^{1+y} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Heine's  $q$ -analog

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^a, q^b \\ q^c \end{matrix} \middle| q^{c-a-b} \right] = \frac{\Gamma_q(c) \Gamma_q(c-a-b)}{\Gamma_q(c-a) \Gamma_q(c-b)}$$

of Gauss's  ${}_2F_1$  summation formula then gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+n} [x]_q^{m+1} [y]_q^{n+1} \zeta[m+2, \{1\}^n] \\ = 1 - \frac{\Gamma_q(1+x) \Gamma_q(1+y)}{\Gamma_q(1+x+y)}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\vec{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_m)$  define

$$\text{weight}(\vec{s}) := |\vec{s}| = \sum_{j=1}^m s_j,$$

$$\text{depth}(\vec{s}) := m,$$

$$\text{height}(\vec{s}) := \#\{j : s_j \geq 2\}.$$

### Theorem 7 (J. Okuda & Y. Takeyama)

$$1 + (w - uv) \sum_{s, m, h \geq 0} u^{s-m-h} v^{m-h} w^{h-1} \sum_{\substack{\text{weight}(\vec{s})=s \\ \text{depth}(\vec{s})=m \\ \text{height}(\vec{s})=h}} \zeta[\vec{s}]$$

$$= \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (u^k + v^k - \alpha^k - \beta^k) \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=2}^k (q-1)^{k-j} \zeta[j] \right\},$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfy the equations

$$\alpha + \beta = u + v + (q-1)(w - uv), \quad \alpha\beta = w.$$



Theorem 6 is case  $w = 0$  of Theorem 7.

# The Simplex Integral

M. Kontsevich: If  $s_1, \dots, s_m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ , then

$$\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_m) = \int \prod_{k=1}^m \left( \prod_{r=1}^{s_k-1} \frac{dt_r^{(k)}}{t_r^{(k)}} \right) \frac{dt_{s_k}^{(k)}}{1 - t_{s_k}^{(k)}},$$

where the integral is over the simplex

$$1 > t_1^{(1)} > \dots > t_{s_1}^{(1)} > \dots > t_1^{(m)} > \dots > t_{s_m}^{(m)} > 0,$$

and is abbreviated (D. Broadhurst) by

$$\int_0^1 \prod_{k=1}^m A^{s_k-1} B, \quad A = \frac{dt}{t}, \quad B = \frac{dt}{1-t}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta(2, 1) &= \sum_{n>m>0} n^{-2}m^{-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (k+j)^{-2}k^{-1} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (k+j)^{-1} \int_0^1 t^{k+j-1} dt \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1} \int_0^1 t^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t u^{k+j-1} du dt \\
&= \int_0^1 t^{-1} \int_0^t (1-u)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1} u^k du dt \\
&= \int_0^1 t^{-1} \int_0^t (1-u)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^u v^{k-1} dv du dt \\
&= \int_{1>t>u>v>0} \frac{dt}{t} \cdot \frac{du}{1-u} \cdot \frac{dv}{1-v} \\
&= \int_0^1 AB^2.
\end{aligned}$$

# The Jackson Integral

Suppose  $f : (0, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  and  $0 < x \leq b$ .

The Jackson  $q$ -integral of  $f$  on the subinterval  $(0, x]$  is

$$\int_0^x f(t) d_q t := (1 - q) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x q^j f(x q^j).$$

If there exists  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  such that  $|f(t)t^\alpha|$  is bounded on  $(0, b]$ , then the integral converges to a function  $F(x)$  on  $(0, b]$ .

Additionally,  $F$  is a  $q$ -antiderivative of  $f$ :

$$D_q F(x) := \frac{F(qx) - F(x)}{(q - 1)x} = f(x), \quad 0 < x \leq b.$$

Note that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} D_q F(x) = F'(x),$$

and

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \int_0^x f(t) d_q t = \int_0^x f(t) dt.$$

# The Jackson Simplex Integral

Let  $s_1, \dots, s_m$  are positive integers. Recall:

$$\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_m) = \int \prod_{k=1}^m \left( \prod_{r=1}^{s_k-1} \frac{dt_r^{(k)}}{t_r^{(k)}} \right) \frac{dt_{s_k}^{(k)}}{1 - t_{s_k}^{(k)}},$$

where the integral is over the simplex

$$1 > t_1^{(1)} > \dots > t_{s_1}^{(1)} > \dots > t_1^{(m)} > \dots > t_{s_m}^{(m)} > 0.$$

## Theorem 8

$$\zeta[s_1, \dots, s_m] = \int \prod_{k=1}^m \left( \prod_{r=1}^{s_k-1} \frac{d_q t_r^{(k)}}{t_r^{(k)}} \right) \frac{d_q t_{s_k}^{(k)}}{y_k - t_{s_k}^{(k)}},$$

where

$$y_k := \prod_{j=1}^k q^{1-s_j},$$

and the integral is over the same simplex as above.



# Duality

Let  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  and  $k = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i + b_i)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \zeta(a_1 + 1, \{1\}^{b_1-1}, \dots, a_n + 1, \{1\}^{b_n-1}) \\
 &= \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^n A^{a_i} B^{b_i} \\
 &= \int_{1 > t_1 > \dots > t_k > 0} \prod_{j=1}^k f_j(t_j) dt_j \\
 &= \int_{1 > u_k > \dots > u_1 > 0} \prod_{j=1}^k f_j(u_j) du_j, \quad u_j = 1 - t_j \\
 &= \int_0^1 \prod_{i=n}^1 A^{b_i} B^{a_i} \\
 &= \zeta(b_n + 1, \{1\}^{a_n-1}, \dots, b_1 + 1, \{1\}^{a_1-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

# Generalized Duality

**Definition 1** Let  $n$  and  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  be positive integers with  $s_1 > 1$ . Let  $m$  be a non-negative integer. Define

$$S(s_1, \dots, s_n; m) := \sum_{\substack{c_1, \dots, c_n \geq 0 \\ c_1 + \dots + c_n = m}} \zeta(s_1 + c_1, \dots, s_n + c_n).$$

For positive integers  $a_i$  and  $b_i$ , define the dual argument lists

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{s} &= \mathbf{Cat}_{i=1}^n \{a_i + 1, \{1\}^{b_i-1}\}, \\ \vec{s}' &= \mathbf{Cat}_{i=n}^1 \{b_i + 1, \{1\}^{a_i-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 9 (Y. Ohno)** For any pair of dual argument lists  $\vec{s}$ ,  $\vec{s}'$  and any non-negative integer  $m$ , we have the equality

$$S(\vec{s}; m) = S(\vec{s}'; m).$$

# Generalized $q$ -Duality

**Definition 2** Let  $n$  and  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  be positive integers with  $s_1 > 1$ . Let  $m$  be a non-negative integer. Define

$$S[s_1, \dots, s_n; m] := \sum_{\substack{c_1, \dots, c_n \geq 0 \\ c_1 + \dots + c_n = m}} \zeta[s_1 + c_1, \dots, s_n + c_n].$$

For positive integers  $a_i$  and  $b_i$ , define the dual argument lists

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{s} &= \mathbf{Cat}_{i=1}^n \{a_i + 1, \{1\}^{b_i-1}\} \\ \vec{s}' &= \mathbf{Cat}_{i=n}^1 \{b_i + 1, \{1\}^{a_i-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 10** For any pair of dual argument lists  $\vec{s}$ ,  $\vec{s}'$  and any non-negative integer  $m$ , we have

$$S[\vec{s}; m] = S[\vec{s}'; m].$$

## $q$ -Duality

**Corollary 7** *If  $\vec{s}, \vec{s}'$  are dual argument lists, then*

$$\zeta[\vec{s}] = \zeta[\vec{s}'].$$

*In other words, if  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), then*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta\left[\mathbf{Cat}_{i=1}^n \{a_i + 1, \{1\}^{b_i-1}\}\right] \\ = \zeta\left[\mathbf{Cat}_{i=n}^1 \{b_i + 1, \{1\}^{a_i-1}\}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Put  $m = 0$  in Theorem 10 (generalized  $q$ -duality). □

## $q$ -Sum Formula

**Definition 3** Let  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  be positive integers.

$$\zeta^*[t_1, \dots, t_n] := \zeta\left[t_1 + 1, \mathbf{Cat}_{j=2}^n t_j\right].$$

**Corollary 8 ( $q$ -Sum Formula)** For any integers  $0 < k \leq n$ , we have

$$\sum_{t_1+t_2+\dots+t_n=k} \zeta^*[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n] = \zeta^*[k],$$

where the sum is over all positive integers  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  with sum equal to  $k$ .

**Proof.** If we take the dual argument lists in the form  $\vec{s} = (n + 1)$  and  $\vec{s}' = (2, \{1\}^{n-1})$  and put  $m = k - n$ , then Theorem 10 states that

$$\zeta[k + 1] = \sum_{\substack{c_1, \dots, c_n \geq 0 \\ c_1 + \dots + c_n = k - n}} \zeta\left[2 + c_2, \mathbf{Cat}_{j=2}^n \{1 + c_j\}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{t_1, \dots, t_n \geq 1 \\ t_1 + \dots + t_n = k}} \zeta\left[t_1 + 1, \mathbf{Cat}_{j=2}^n t_j\right].$$

□

## $q$ -Cyclic Sum Formula

**Definition 4** Let  $s_j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and put  $\vec{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ . Let  $\sigma$  denote the  $n$ -cycle  $(1\ 2 \cdots n)$ , and let

$$\mathcal{C}(\vec{s}) := \{(s_{\sigma j(1)}, \dots, s_{\sigma j(n)}) : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$$

denote the set of cyclic permutations of  $\vec{s}$ .

Recall the definition

$$\zeta^*[s_1, \dots, s_n] := \zeta[s_1 + 1, s_2, \dots, s_n].$$

**Theorem 11** Let  $\vec{s}$  and  $\vec{s}'$  be dual argument lists.

Then

$$\sum_{\vec{t} \in \mathcal{C}(\vec{s})} \zeta^*[\vec{t}] = \sum_{\vec{t} \in \mathcal{C}(\vec{s}')} \zeta^*[\vec{t}].$$

# Proof of Generalized $q$ -Duality

Let  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbf{Q}\langle x, y \rangle$  denote the non-commutative polynomial algebra over the rational numbers in two indeterminates  $x$  and  $y$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{h}^0$  denote the subalgebra  $\mathbf{Q}1 \oplus x\mathfrak{h}y$ .

The  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear map  $\hat{\zeta}$  is defined on  $\mathfrak{h}^0$  by

$$\hat{\zeta}[1] := \zeta[1] = 1$$

and

$$\hat{\zeta}\left[\prod_{i=1}^s x^{a_i} y^{b_i}\right] = \zeta\left[\mathbf{Cat}_{i=1}^s \{a_i + 1, \{1\}^{b_i-1}\}\right],$$

for positive integers  $a_i, b_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ).

Let  $\tau$  be the anti-automorphism of  $\mathfrak{h}$  that switches  $x$  and  $y$ .

Then  $q$ -duality simply says that

$$\hat{\zeta}[\tau w] = \hat{\zeta}[w], \quad \forall w \in \mathfrak{h}^0.$$

For each  $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ , let  $D_n$  be the derivation on  $\mathfrak{h}$  that maps  $x \mapsto 0$  and  $y \mapsto x^n y$ .

Let  $\theta$  be an indeterminate (formal parameter).

Define

$$\Delta := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_n}{n} \theta^n \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma := \exp(\Delta).$$

Then

$\Delta$  is a derivation on  $\mathfrak{h}[[\theta]]$ , and  
 $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $\mathfrak{h}[[\theta]]$ .

For any word  $w \in \mathfrak{h}^0$ , define

$$f[w; \theta] := \widehat{\zeta}[\sigma w]$$

and

$$g[w; \theta] := f[\tau w; \theta] = \widehat{\zeta}[\sigma \tau w].$$



Recall

$$D_n \text{ sends } x \mapsto 0, y \mapsto x^n y.$$

Thus,

$$\Delta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_n}{n} \theta^n : x \mapsto 0, y \mapsto \{\log(1 - x\theta)^{-1}\}y$$

and

$$\sigma = \exp(\Delta) : x \mapsto x, y \mapsto (1 - x\theta)^{-1}y.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f \left[ \prod_{i=1}^s x^{a_i} y^{b_i}; \theta \right] &= \hat{\zeta} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^s x^{a_i} \{(1 - x\theta)^{-1}y\}^{b_i} \right] \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \theta^m \sum_{\substack{c_1, \dots, c_n \geq 0 \\ c_1 + \dots + c_n = m}} \zeta \left[ \mathbf{Cat}_{j=1}^n \{t_j + c_j\} \right], \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \theta^m S[\vec{t}; m], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\vec{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n) = (\mathbf{Cat}_{i=1}^s \{a_i + 1, \{1\}^{b_i-1}\}), n = \sum_{i=1}^s b_i.$$

## Generalized $q$ -Duality Reformulated

**Theorem 12** For all  $w \in \mathfrak{h}^0$ ,  $f[w; \theta] = g[w; \theta]$ , i.e.  $\widehat{\zeta} \circ \sigma$  is invariant under ordinary duality  $\tau$ .

**Theorem 13** Let  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^s (a_i + b_i) > 2$ . Let  $\theta' := q\theta - 1$ , and set

$$I^m = \underbrace{\{0, 1\} \times \cdots \times \{0, 1\}}_m.$$

The generating functions  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy the difference equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{\epsilon, \delta \in I^s \\ \delta_1 < a_1, \epsilon_s < b_s}} (-\theta)^{\bar{\delta} \cdot \bar{\epsilon}} (1 - q)^{\delta \cdot \epsilon} f \left[ \prod_{i=1}^s x^{a_i - \delta_i} y^{b_i - \epsilon_i}; \theta \right] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\delta, \epsilon \in I^{s+1} \\ \delta_{s+1} = \epsilon_1 = 0 \\ \delta_1 < a_1, \epsilon_{s+1} < b_s}} (-\theta')^{\bar{\delta} \cdot \bar{\epsilon} - 1} (1 - q)^{\delta \cdot \epsilon} f \left[ \prod_{i=1}^s x^{a_i - \delta_i} y^{b_i - \epsilon_{i+1}}; \theta' \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\bar{\delta}$  denotes the ordered tuple whose  $i^{\text{th}}$  component is  $1 - \delta_i$ , and of course  $\delta \cdot \epsilon$  denotes the dot product  $\sum_i \delta_i \epsilon_i$ . Similarly,  $\bar{\epsilon}$  denotes the ordered tuple whose  $i^{\text{th}}$  component is  $1 - \epsilon_i$ , and  $\bar{\delta} \cdot \bar{\epsilon} = \sum_i (1 - \delta_i)(1 - \epsilon_i)$ .

**Proof of Theorem 12.** Use induction on the total degree of the word  $\prod_{i=1}^s x^{a_i} y^{b_i}$ .

The base case is clearly satisfied, since the word  $xy$  is self-dual.

Now apply Theorem 13 to  $f$  and  $g$  and subtract the resulting two equations.

The terms whose words have total degree less than  $\sum_{i=1}^s (a_i + b_i)$  are cancelled by the induction hypothesis.

This leaves us with

$$\begin{aligned} & (-\theta)^s \left\{ f \left[ \prod_{i=1}^s x^{a_i} y^{b_i}; \theta \right] - g \left[ \prod_{i=1}^s x^{a_i} y^{b_i}; \theta \right] \right\} \\ &= (-\theta')^s \left\{ f \left[ \prod_{i=1}^s x^{a_i} y^{b_i}; \theta' \right] - g \left[ \prod_{i=1}^s x^{a_i} y^{b_i}; \theta' \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the function

$$H(\theta) := (-\theta)^s \left\{ f \left[ \prod_{i=1}^s x^{a_i} y^{b_i}; \theta \right] - g \left[ \prod_{i=1}^s x^{a_i} y^{b_i}; \theta \right] \right\}$$

satisfies the functional equation  $H(\theta) = H(\theta')$ , where  $\theta' = q\theta - 1$ .

One can show that  $H(\theta)$  is a meromorphic function of  $\theta$  of the form

$$H(\theta) = \theta^s \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h_n}{[n]_q - \theta q^n},$$

with at worst simple poles at  $\theta = p_n := q^{-n}[n]_q$  for positive integers  $n$ .

Note that

$$0 = p_0 < p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_{n-1} < p_n < \cdots$$

and

$$p_n' = qp_n - 1 = p_{n-1} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

The functional equation

$$H(\theta) = H(\theta')$$

thus implies that if  $H$  has a pole at  $p_n$ , then  $H$  must also have a pole at  $p_{n-1}$ .

Since  $H$  has no pole at  $p_0$ , it follows that each  $h_n = 0$ .

Thus,  $H$  vanishes identically and so  $f = g$ . □

# Derivations

**Definition 5 (K. Ihara & M. Kaneko)** Define a derivation on  $\mathfrak{h}$  for each positive integer  $n$  by

$$\partial_n(x) = x(x + y)^{n-1}, \quad \partial_n(y) = -x(x + y)^{n-1}y.$$

**Theorem 14 (Ihara & Kaneko)** For all positive integers  $n$  and words  $w \in \mathfrak{h}^0$ ,  $\widehat{\zeta}(\partial_n(w)) = 0$ .

**Theorem 15 ( $q$ -Analog)** For all positive integers  $n$  and words  $w \in \mathfrak{h}^0$ ,  $\widehat{\zeta}[\partial_n(w)] = 0$ .

---

Theorem 15 is actually *equivalent* to generalized  $q$ -duality (Theorem 12).

## Proof of Theorem 15

**Proof.** Let  $\sigma = \exp(\Delta)$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma} = \tau\sigma\tau$ ,

$$\Delta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_n}{n} \theta^n, \quad \partial = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial_n}{n} \theta^n.$$

Generalized  $q$ -duality (Theorem 12):  $\forall w \in \mathfrak{h}^0$ ,

$$\hat{\zeta}[\sigma w] = \hat{\zeta}[\sigma\tau w] = \hat{\zeta}[\tau\sigma\tau w] \iff (\sigma - \tilde{\sigma})w \in \ker \hat{\zeta}.$$

We show that in fact,  $(\sigma - \tilde{\sigma})\mathfrak{h}^0 = \partial\mathfrak{h}^0$ .

To prove this, we require the following identity of Ihara and Kaneko.

**Proposition 16**  $\exp(\partial) = \tilde{\sigma}\sigma^{-1}$ .

To complete the proof of Theorem 15, observe that since

$$\begin{aligned}\partial &= \log(\tilde{\sigma}\sigma^{-1}) = \log(1 - (\sigma - \tilde{\sigma})\sigma^{-1}) \\ &= -(\sigma - \tilde{\sigma}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left( (\sigma - \tilde{\sigma})\sigma^{-1} \right)^{n-1} \sigma^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma - \tilde{\sigma} &= (1 - \tilde{\sigma}\sigma^{-1})\sigma = (1 - \exp(\partial))\sigma \\ &= -\partial \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^{n-1}}{n!} \sigma,\end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$\partial\mathfrak{h}^0 \subseteq (\sigma - \tilde{\sigma})\mathfrak{h}^0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\sigma - \tilde{\sigma})\mathfrak{h}^0 \subseteq \partial\mathfrak{h}^0.$$

Thus for the kernel of  $\hat{\zeta}$ , we have the equivalences

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma - \tilde{\sigma})w \in \ker \hat{\zeta} &\iff \partial w \in \ker \hat{\zeta} \\ &\iff \forall n \in \mathbf{Z}^+, \hat{\zeta}[\partial_n w] = 0.\end{aligned}$$

□