



1

# Duality for finite multiple harmonic $q$ -series

3

David M. Bradley

5

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Maine, 5752 Neville Hall, Orono, Maine 04469-5752, USA*

Received 27 October 2003; received in revised form 21 June 2005; accepted 23 June 2005

7

## Abstract

We define two finite  $q$ -analogs of certain multiple harmonic series with an arbitrary number of free parameters, and prove identities for these  $q$ -analogs, expressing them in terms of multiply nested sums involving the Gaussian binomial coefficients. Special cases of these identities—for example, with all parameters equal to 1—have occurred in the literature. The special case with only one parameter reduces to an identity for the divisor generating function, which has received some attention in connection with problems in sorting theory. The general case can be viewed as a duality result, reminiscent of the duality relation for the ordinary multiple zeta function.

© 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Multiple harmonic series; Finite  $q$ -analog; Gaussian binomial coefficients;  $q$ -series; Duality; Multiple zeta values

## 1. Introduction

One of the main results in [32] is the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} q^{k(k+1)/2}}{(1-q)(1-q^2) \cdots (1-q^{k-1})(1-q^k)^2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^k}{1-q^k}, \quad |q| < 1. \quad (1.1)$$

*E-mail addresses:* [bradley@math.umaine.edu](mailto:bradley@math.umaine.edu), [dbradley@member.ams.org](mailto:dbradley@member.ams.org).

1 As Uchimura showed, the series on the left-hand side of (1.1) is the limiting case ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ )  
of the polynomials

$$3 \quad U_n(q) := \sum_{k=1}^n kq^k \prod_{j=k+1}^n (1 - q^j)$$

which arise in sorting:  $U_n(1/2)$  is the average number of exchanges required to insert a new  
5 element into a heap-ordered binary tree with  $2^n - 1$  elements [31]. Andrews and Uchimura  
[2] later proved the finite analog

$$7 \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k+1} q^{k(k+1)/2}}{1 - q^k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{q^k}{1 - q^k}, \quad 0 < n \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad (1.2)$$

of (1.1) by differentiating the  $q$ -Chu–Vandermonde sum. Here,

$$9 \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} := \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1 - q^{n-k+j}}{1 - q^j} \quad (1.3)$$

is the (Gaussian)  $q$ -binomial coefficient, which vanishes by convention unless  $0 \leq k \leq n$ .  
11 Subsequently, Dilcher [16] proved the generalization

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k+1} q^{k(k+1)/2 + (m-1)k}}{(1 - q^k)^m} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k_1=1}^n \frac{q^{k_1}}{1 - q^{k_1}} \sum_{k_2=1}^{k_1} \frac{q^{k_2}}{1 - q^{k_2}} \dots \sum_{k_m=1}^{k_{m-1}} \frac{q^{k_m}}{1 - q^{k_m}} \quad (1.4)$$

13 of (1.2) by a double induction on  $n$  and  $m$ , and noted that (1.4) can be viewed as a  $q$ -analog  
of the identity

$$15 \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^m} \binom{n}{k} = \sum_{n \geq k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 1} \frac{1}{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}, \quad 0 < m \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad (1.5)$$

17 involving a finite multiple harmonic sum with unit exponents. In this paper, we provide a  
generalization of (1.4) that gives a  $q$ -analog of (1.5) with arbitrary positive integer exponents  
on the  $k_j$ . We also give a  $q$ -analog of (1.5) in which the inequalities on the indices are strict.

19 **2. Main result**

Henceforth, we assume  $q$  is real and  $0 < q < 1$ . The  $q$ -analog of a non-negative integer  $n$   
21 is

$$[n]_q := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^k = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q}.$$

1 **Definition 1.** Let  $n, m$  and  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m$  be non-negative integers. Define the multiply  
 2 nested sums

$$3 \quad Z_n[s_1, \dots, s_m] := \sum_{n \geq k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 1} \prod_{j=1}^m q^{k_j} [k_j]_q^{-s_j}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$4 \quad A_n[s_1, \dots, s_m] := \sum_{n \geq k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 1} (-1)^{k_1+1} q^{k_1(k_1+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \times \prod_{j=1}^m q^{(s_j-1)k_j} [k_j]_q^{-s_j}, \quad (2.2)$$

5 with the understanding that  $Z_0[s_1, \dots, s_m] = A_0[s_1, \dots, s_m] = 0$ , and with empty argument  
 6 lists,  $Z_n[\ ] = A_n[\ ] = 1$  if  $n > 0$  and  $m = 0$ . As in (1.4) and (1.5), the sums are over all  
 7 integers  $k_j$  satisfying the indicated inequalities.

It will be convenient to make occasional use of the abbreviations  $\mathbf{Cat}_{j=1}^m \{s_j\}$  for the  
 9 concatenated argument sequence  $s_1, \dots, s_m$  and  $\{s\}^m = \mathbf{Cat}_{j=1}^m \{s\}$  for  $m \geq 0$  consecutive  
 copies of the argument  $s$ . We can now state our main result.

11 **Theorem 1.** Let  $n, r$  and  $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_r, b_r$  be positive integers. Then

$$Z_n \left[ \mathbf{Cat}_{j=1}^{r-1} \{1\}^{a_j-1}, b_j + 1, \{1\}^{a_r-1}, b_r \right] = A_n \left[ a_1, \{1\}^{b_1-1}, \mathbf{Cat}_{j=2}^r \{a_j + 1, \{1\}^{b_j-1}\} \right].$$

13 **Example 1.** Putting  $r = 2, a_1 = 3, b_1 = 2, a_2 = 1, b_2 = 1$  in Theorem 1 gives the identity  
 $Z_n[1, 1, 3, 1] = A_n[3, 1, 2]$ , or equivalently,

$$14 \quad \sum_{n \geq j \geq k \geq m \geq p \geq 1} \frac{q^{j+k+m+p}}{[j]_q [k]_q [m]_q^3 [p]_q} = \sum_{n \geq k \geq m \geq p \geq 1} (-1)^{k+1} q^{k(k+1)/2} \\ \times \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{q^{2k+p}}{[k]_q^3 [m]_q [p]_q^2}.$$

17 **Example 2.** Putting  $r = 2, a_1 = 1, b_1 = 1, a_2 = 1, b_2 = 2$  in Theorem 1 gives the identity  
 $Z_n[2, 2] = A_n[1, 2, 1]$ , or equivalently,

$$\sum_{n \geq k \geq m \geq 1} \frac{q^{k+m}}{[k]_q^2 [m]_q^2} = \sum_{n \geq k \geq m \geq p \geq 1} (-1)^{k+1} q^{k(k+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{q^m}{[k]_q [m]_q^2 [p]_q}.$$

19 Theorem 1 has a concise reformulation in terms of involutions on sequences, or equiva-  
 20 lently, dual words in the non-commutative polynomial algebra  $\mathbf{Q}(x, y)$ : see Section 4.  
 21 Additional consequences of Theorem 1 will be explored in the next section.

1 **3. Special cases**

For real  $x$  and  $y$ , we depart from convention and borrow the suggestive notation of [23] for the  $q$ -analog of  $(x + y)^n$ :

$$(x + y)_q^n := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (x + yq^k), \quad 0 \leq n \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

5 It is easily seen that the  $q$ -binomial coefficient (1.3) has the alternative representation

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(1 - q)_q^n}{(1 - q)_q^k (1 - q)_q^{n-k}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n,$$

7 from which follow the well-known limiting results

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{(1 - q)_q^k}, \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n - k)!}.$$

9 Notation for limiting cases of the sums in Definition 1 are as follows.

**Definition 2.**

11 
$$Z[s_1, \dots, s_m] := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_n \left[ \begin{matrix} m \\ \mathbf{Cat} \\ j=1 \end{matrix} s_j \right] = \sum_{k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 1} \prod_{j=1}^m q^{k_j} [k_j]_q^{-s_j},$$

$$\begin{aligned} A[s_1, \dots, s_m] &:= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \left[ \begin{matrix} m \\ \mathbf{Cat} \\ j=1 \end{matrix} s_j \right] \\ &= \sum_{k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k_1+1} q^{k_1(k_1+1)/2}}{(1 - q)_q^{k_1}} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{q^{(s_j-1)k_j}}{[k_j]_q^{s_j}}. \end{aligned}$$

13 **Definition 3.**

$$Z_n(s_1, \dots, s_m) := \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} Z_n \left[ \begin{matrix} m \\ \mathbf{Cat} \\ j=1 \end{matrix} s_j \right] = \sum_{n \geq k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 1} \prod_{j=1}^m k_j^{-s_j},$$

$$A_n(s_1, \dots, s_m) := \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} A_n \left[ \begin{matrix} m \\ \mathbf{Cat} \\ j=1 \end{matrix} s_j \right] = \sum_{n \geq k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 1} (-1)^{k_1+1} \binom{n}{k_1} \prod_{j=1}^m k_j^{-s_j}.$$

15 With this notation, the following consequences of Theorem 1 are immediate.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $n, r$  and  $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_r, b_r$  be positive integers. Then*

17 
$$\begin{aligned} &Z_n \left( \begin{matrix} r-1 \\ \mathbf{Cat} \\ j=1 \end{matrix} \{ \{1\}^{a_j-1}, b_j + 1 \}, \{1\}^{a_r-1}, b_r \right) \\ &= A_n \left( a_1, \{1\}^{b_1-1}, \begin{matrix} r \\ \mathbf{Cat} \\ j=2 \end{matrix} \{ a_j + 1, \{1\}^{b_j-1} \} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$Z \left[ \text{Cat}_{j=1}^{r-1} \{ \{1\}^{a_j-1}, b_j + 1 \}, \{1\}^{a_r-1}, b_r \right] = A \left[ a_1, \{1\}^{b_1-1}, \text{Cat}_{j=2}^r \{ a_j + 1, \{1\}^{b_j-1} \} \right].$$

**Corollary 2.** Let  $n, a$  and  $b$  be positive integers. Then

$$Z_n[\{1\}^{a-1}, b] = A_n[a, \{1\}^{b-1}]. \tag{3.1}$$

**Proof.** Put  $r = 1$  in Theorem 1.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** If we put  $b = 1$  and  $a = m$  in (3.1), we find that

$$Z_n[\{1\}^m] = A_n[m], \tag{3.2}$$

which is (1.4). As we shall see,  $A_n[0] = 1$  is an easy consequence of the  $q$ -binomial theorem. Since we have defined  $Z_n[\ ] = 1$ , it follows that (3.2) also holds when  $m = 0$ . Concerning the equivalent equation (1.4), this point was also noted by Dilcher [16].

**Remark 2.** If we put  $a = 1$  and  $b = m$  in (3.1), there follows  $Z_n[m] = A_n[\{1\}^m]$ , an identity dual to (1.4) and (3.2):

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{q^k}{[k]_q^m} = \sum_{n \geq k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 1} (-1)^{k_1+1} q^{k_1(k_1+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{[k_j]_q}, \tag{3.3}$$

with respective limiting cases

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^m} = \sum_{n \geq k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 1} (-1)^{k_1+1} \binom{n}{k_1} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{k_j}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^k}{[k]_q^m} = \sum_{k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k_1+1} q^{k_1(k_1+1)/2}}{(1-q)_q^{k_1}} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{[k_j]_q}.$$

Prodinger’s main result [28, Theorem 1] is easily obtained from (3.3) by replacing  $q$  with  $1/q$ . The fact that Prodinger obtained his result from (1.4) as a consequence of an inverse-pair equivalence suggests that additional instances of our Theorem 1 may likewise be so related. That this is indeed the case is one of the insights of the next section.

#### 4. Duality

Here, we recast Theorem 1 in the language of *duality*, a concept first formulated for multiple harmonic series in [19], and subsequently generalized [26,12]. Following a suggestion of Hoffman [21], define an involution on the set  $\mathcal{S}$  of finite sequences of positive integers as follows. Let  $\alpha$  be the map that sends a sequence in  $\mathcal{S}$  to its sequence of partial sums. The

1 image of  $\alpha$  thus consists of the strictly increasing finite sequences of positive integers, on  
 2 which we define an involution  $\beta$  by

3 
$$\beta \left( \underset{j=1}{\overset{m}{\mathbf{Cat}}} t_j \right) = \{k \in \mathbf{Z} : 1 \leq k \leq t_m\} \setminus \{t_j : 1 \leq j \leq m-1\}, \quad 0 < m \in \mathbf{Z},$$

4 arranged in increasing order. In other words,  $\beta$  maps a strictly increasing sequence of positive  
 5 integers  $t_1, \dots, t_m$  to its set-theoretic complement in the positive integers up to  $t_m$ , and then  
 6 tacks  $t_m$  onto the end of the result. Clearly, the composition of maps  $\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha$  is an involution  
 7 of  $\mathcal{S}$ , and it is easy to see that Theorem 1 can be restated as

$$Z_n[\vec{s}] = A_n[\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha\vec{s}] \quad \forall \vec{s} \in \mathcal{S}, \quad 0 < n \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

9 For an alternative duality reformulation, let  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbf{Q}\langle x, y \rangle$  denote the non-commutative  
 polynomial algebra over the field of rational numbers in two indeterminates  $x$  and  $y$ . Let  
 11  $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{h}y$  and fix a positive integer  $n$ . Define  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear maps  $\widehat{A}_n$  and  $\widehat{Z}_n$  on  $\mathfrak{h}'$  by

$$\widehat{A}_n \left[ \prod_{j=1}^m x^{s_j-1} y \right] := A_n \left[ \underset{j=1}{\overset{m}{\mathbf{Cat}}} s_j \right], \quad \widehat{Z}_n \left[ \prod_{j=1}^m x^{s_j-1} y \right] := Z_n \left[ \underset{j=1}{\overset{m}{\mathbf{Cat}}} s_j \right],$$

13 for any positive integers  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m$ . Let  $J$  be the automorphism of  $\mathfrak{h}$  that switches  $x$  and  
 14  $y$ . Define an involution of  $\mathfrak{h}'$  by

15 
$$w^* = (Jw)x^{-1}y \quad \forall w \in \mathfrak{h}'. \tag{4.1}$$

It is now a routine matter to show that Theorem 1 can be restated as

17 
$$\widehat{A}_n[w] = \widehat{Z}_n[w^*] \quad \forall w \in \mathfrak{h}'. \tag{4.2}$$

Now Prodinger [28, Lemma 1] proved that for positive integer  $n$ , the inverse pairs

19 
$$\sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \alpha_k \tag{4.3}$$

and

21 
$$\sum_{k=0}^n q^{-k} \alpha_k = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)/2 - kn} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \beta_k \tag{4.4}$$

23 are equivalent. In other words, (4.3) holds for a pair of sequences  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  and  
 $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  if and only if (4.4) does. But our Theorem 1 states that (4.3) holds for  
 the sequences

25 
$$\alpha_k = - \sum_{k \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 1} \frac{q^{s_1 k}}{[k]_q^{s_1}} \prod_{j=2}^m \frac{q^{(s_j-1)k_j}}{[k_j]_q^{s_j}},$$

$$\beta_k = \sum_{k \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 1} \frac{q^k}{[k]_q^{s_1}} \prod_{j=2}^m \frac{q^{k_j}}{[k_j]_q^{s_j}},$$

1 where  $s_1, \dots, s_m$  are positive integers,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , and  $\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = 0$ . Equivalently, (4.2) holds  
 2 with  $w = x^{s_1-1}y \dots x^{s_m-1}y$ . Since duality is an involution on words,  $w^{**} = w$ , and Theorem  
 3 1 also gives the dual statement  $A_n[w^*] = Z_n[w]$ . But this latter statement is easily seen to be  
 4 equivalent to (4.4) if we replace  $q$  by  $1/q$  throughout. Thus Prodinger’s result implies that  
 5 if  $A_n[w] = Z_n[w^*]$  is known for a particular word  $w$ , then we also know  $A_n[w^*] = Z_n[w]$ ,  
 6 and vice versa. Of course, knowing only that the two statements are equivalent does not  
 7 establish their truth in any particular instances—for this we need our Theorem 1. What it  
 8 shows is that the notion of duality in the case of Theorem 1 coincides with the existence of  
 9 a certain class of inverse pair identities.

10 For additional duality results concerning multiple harmonic  $q$ -series, see [12]. It is inter-  
 11 esting to contrast (4.1) and (4.2) with the corresponding duality statement for multiple  
 12 zeta values [20,22], for which the relevant involution is the *anti*-automorphism of  $x$  by that  
 13 switches  $x$  and  $y$ .

**5. Proof of Theorem 1**

14 By induction, it suffices to establish the two recurrence relations for  $A_n$  stated in Propo-  
 15 sitions 1 and 2, along with the base cases  $A_n[\ ] = A_n[0] = 1$  for  $0 < n \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

16 **Proposition 1.** *Let  $n, m$ , and  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m$  be positive integers. Then*

$$A_n[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m] = \sum_{r=1}^n q^r [r]_q^{-1} A_r[s_1 - 1, s_2, \dots, s_m].$$

17 **Proposition 2.** *Let  $n, m$ , and  $s_2, s_3, \dots, s_m$  be positive integers. Then*

$$A_n[0, s_2, s_3, \dots, s_m] = [n]_q^{-1} A_n[s_2 - 1, s_3, \dots, s_m].$$

18 The base case  $A_n[\ ] = 1$  for  $n > 0$  is true by definition. As alluded to previously, the other  
 19 base case is an easy consequence of the  $q$ -binomial theorem [1,18,23]

$$(x + y)_q^n = \sum_{m=0}^n q^{m(m-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} x^{n-m} y^m. \tag{5.1}$$

20 Putting  $x = 1$  and  $y = -1$  in (5.1), we see that if  $n > 0$ , then

$$A_n[0] = \sum_{m=1}^n (-1)^{m+1} q^{m(m-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} = 1 - (1 - 1)_q^n = 1.$$

21 Thus, it remains only to prove Propositions 1 and 2.

22 We shall make use of the (equivalent by symmetry)  $q$ -Pascal recurrences [23]

$$\begin{bmatrix} r \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r - 1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} + q^{r-k} \begin{bmatrix} r - 1 \\ k - 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} r \\ k \end{bmatrix} = q^k \begin{bmatrix} r - 1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} r - 1 \\ k - 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{5.2}$$

23 and the following elementary summation formula.

1 **Lemma 1.** Let  $k$  and  $n$  be positive integers with  $k \leq n$ . Then

$$\sum_{r=k}^n q^r \begin{bmatrix} r-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} = q^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}.$$

3 **Proof.** Write the first  $q$ -Pascal recurrence (5.2) in the form

$$q^{r-k} \begin{bmatrix} r-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r \\ k \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} r-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix},$$

5 multiply through by  $q^k$ , and sum on  $r$ .  $\square$

It will be helpful to introduce some further notation.

7 **Definition 4.** Let  $n, m$ , and  $s_1, \dots, s_m$  be non-negative integers. Define

$$W_n[s_1, \dots, s_m] := \sum_{n \geq k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 1} \prod_{j=1}^m q^{(s_j-1)k_j} [k_j]_q^{-s_j},$$

9 with the understanding that  $W_0[s_1, \dots, s_m] = 0$  and  $W_n[\ ] = 1$  if  $n > 0$  and  $m = 0$ .

**Proof of Proposition 1.** By Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_n[s_1, \dots, s_m] &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} q^{k(k-1)/2+(s_1-1)k} [k]_q^{-s_1} W_k[s_2, \dots, s_m] q^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} q^{k(k-1)/2+(s_1-1)k} [k]_q^{-s_1} W_k[s_2, \dots, s_m] \sum_{r=k}^n q^r \begin{bmatrix} r-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^n q^r \sum_{k=1}^r (-1)^{k+1} q^{k(k-1)/2+(s_1-1)k} \begin{bmatrix} r-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} [k]_q^{-s_1} W_k[s_2, \dots, s_m] \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^n q^r [r]_q^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^r (-1)^{k+1} q^{k(k+1)/2+(s_1-2)k} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ k \end{bmatrix} [k]_q^{1-s_1} W_k[s_2, \dots, s_m] \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^n q^r [r]_q^{-1} A_r[s_1-1, s_2, \dots, s_m]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$



1 **Proof of Proposition 2.** By the second  $q$ -Pascal recurrence (5.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & A_n[0, s_2, \dots, s_m] \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} q^{k(k-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} W_k[s_2, \dots, s_m] \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} q^{k(k-1)/2} \left\{ q^k \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} W_k[s_2, \dots, s_m] \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} q^{k(k+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} W_k + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} q^{k(k-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} W_k \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} q^{k(k+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} W_k + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k q^{k(k+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} W_{k+1} \\
 &= W_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^k q^{k(k+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} (W_{k+1} - W_k) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k q^{k(k+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{(s_2-1)(k+1)} [k+1]_q^{-s_2} W_{k+1}[s_3, \dots, s_m] \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} q^{k(k-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} q^{(s_2-1)k} [k]_q^{-s_2} W_k[s_3, \dots, s_m] \\
 &= [n]_q^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} q^{k(k+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{(s_2-2)k} [k]_q^{1-s_2} W_k[s_3, \dots, s_m] \\
 &= [n]_q^{-1} A_n[s_2 - 1, s_3, \dots, s_m]. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

3 The following consequence of Proposition 2 may be worth noting.

**Corollary 3.** Let  $n$  be a positive integer, and let  $m$  be a non-negative integer. Then  $A_n[0,$

5  $\{1\}^m] = [n]_q^{-m}$ , or equivalently,

$$\sum_{n \geq k \geq k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 1} (-1)^{k+1} q^{k(k-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \prod_{j=1}^m [k_j]_q^{-1} = [n]_q^{-m}.$$

7 **6. Multiple harmonic  $q$ -series with strict inequalities**

Much of the recent literature concerning multiple harmonic series has focused on sums of the form [3–6,9–11,13,19,20,22,26]

9

$$\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_m) := \sum_{k_1 > \dots > k_m > 0} \prod_{j=1}^m k_j^{-s_j} \tag{6.1}$$

1 and various multiple polylogarithmic extensions [7,8]. Thus, it may be of interest to consider  
 2 finite  $q$ -analogues of (6.1) akin to (2.1), but in which the inequalities are strict as opposed to  
 3 weak.

**Definition 5.** Let  $n, m$  and  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m$  be non-negative integers. Define

$$Z_n^>[s_1, \dots, s_m] := \sum_{n \geq k_1 > \dots > k_m \geq 1} \prod_{j=1}^m q^{k_j} [k_j]_q^{-s_j},$$

$$A_n^>[s_1, \dots, s_m] := \sum_{n \geq k_1 > \dots > k_m \geq 1} (-1)^{k_1} q^{k_1(k_1+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix} \prod_{j=1}^m q^{(s_j-1)k_j} [k_j]_q^{-s_j},$$

4 with the understanding that if  $m > 0$ , then  $Z_0^>[s_1, \dots, s_m] = A_0^>[s_1, \dots, s_m] = 0$  and if  
 5  $m = 0$ , then  $Z_n^>[] = A_n^>[] = 1$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

6 In light of (6.1), it is clear that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} Z_n^>[\vec{s}] = \zeta(\vec{s})$  if  $\vec{s} = s_1, \dots, s_m$  is any  
 7 vector of positive integers with  $s_1 > 1$ . Of course, there is an obvious relationship between  
 8  $Z_n$  and  $Z_n^>$  and between  $A_n$  and  $A_n^>$ . For example,

$$11 \quad Z_n[s] = Z_n^>[s], \quad Z_n[s_1, s_2] = Z_n^>[s_1, s_2] + Z_n^>[s_1 + s_2],$$

and

$$12 \quad Z_n[s_1, s_2, s_3] = Z_n^>[s_1, s_2, s_3] + Z_n^>[s_1 + s_2, s_3] + Z_n^>[s_1, s_2 + s_3]$$

$$13 \quad + Z_n^>[s_1 + s_2 + s_3].$$

14 More generally,  $Z_n[\vec{s}]$  is the sum of those  $Z_n^>[\vec{t}]$ , where  $\vec{t}$  is obtained from  $\vec{s}$  by replacing any  
 15 number of commas by plus signs. Despite this relationship, the presence of strict inequalities  
 16 in Definition 5 does appear to complicate matters insofar as there is no simple analog of  
 17 Theorem 1 relating  $Z_n^>$  to  $A_n^>$ . Nevertheless, there are recurrences for  $A_n^>$  analogous to the  
 recurrences satisfied by  $A_n$ . Arguing as in Section 5, we find that

$$18 \quad A_n^>[s_1, \dots, s_m] = \sum_{r=1}^n q^r [r]_q^{-1} A_r^>[s_1 - 1, s_2, \dots, s_m],$$

$$19 \quad A_n^>[0, s_2, \dots, s_m] = -A_{n-1}^>[s_2, \dots, s_m].$$

20 As a consequence, one can establish (using induction as in the proof of Corollary 3) the  
 21 following result.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $m$  and  $n$  be non-negative integers. Then  $(-1)^m Z_n^>[\{1\}^m] = A_n^>[\{1\}^m]$ .

22 **Corollary 4.** Let  $m$  be a positive integer. Then

$$(-1)^m \sum_{k_1 > \dots > k_m > 0} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{q^{k_j}}{[k_j]_q} = \sum_{k_1 > \dots > k_m > 0} (-1)^{k_1} \frac{q^{k_1(k_1+1)/2}}{(1-q)^{k_1}} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{[k_j]_q}.$$

25 **Proof.** Let  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in Theorem 2.  $\square$

1 **Corollary 5.** *Let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive integers. Then*

$$(-1)^m \sum_{n \geq k_1 > \dots > k_m \geq 1} \prod_{j=1}^m k_j^{-1} = \sum_{n \geq k_1 > \dots > k_m \geq 1} (-1)^{k_1} \binom{n}{k_1} \prod_{j=1}^m k_j^{-1}.$$

3 **Proof.** Let  $q \rightarrow 1$  in Theorem 2.  $\square$

5 One can also prove Theorem 2 by differentiating the  $q$ -Chu–Vandermonde summation as in the Andrews–Uchimura [2] proof of (1.2):

7 **Alternative Proof of Theorem 2.** Let  $x$  be real,  $x \neq 1$ . Write the  $q$ -Chu–Vandermonde sum [18,30] in the form

$$\frac{(1-xq)_q^n}{(1-q)_q^n} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k q^{k(k+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{(x-1)_q^k}{(1-q)_q^k}$$

9 and differentiate both sides  $m > 0$  times with respect to  $x$ , obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^m \frac{(1-xq)_q^n}{(1-q)_q^n} \sum_{n \geq k_1 > \dots > k_m \geq 1} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{q^{k_j}}{1-xq^{k_j}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k q^{k(k+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{(x-1)_q^k}{(1-q)_q^k} \sum_{k > k_1 > \dots > k_m \geq 0} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{x-q^{k_j}}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

11 Now let  $x \rightarrow 1$  and note that the sum on the right-hand side of (6.2) vanishes if  $k_m > 0$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^m \sum_{n \geq k_1 > \dots > k_m \geq 1} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{q^{k_j}}{1-q^{k_j}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{q^{k(k+1)/2}}{1-q^k} \sum_{k > k_1 > \dots > k_{m-1} > 0} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{1-q^{k_j}}. \end{aligned}$$

13 Finally, multiply both sides by  $(1-q)^m$  to complete the proof.  $\square$

15 **7. Final remarks**

In [12,14,15,27,33], the multiple  $q$ -zeta function

$$17 \quad \zeta[\vec{s}; q] = \zeta[s_1, \dots, s_m] := \sum_{k_1 > \dots > k_m > 0} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{q^{(s_j-1)k_j}}{[k_j]_q^{s_j}}$$

is the central object of study. With the abbreviation

$$|\vec{s}| := \sum_{j=1}^m s_j,$$

we note the relationship

$$\zeta[\vec{s}; q] = q^{|\vec{s}|} Z_{\infty}^{>}[\vec{s}; 1/q],$$

where  $Z_{\infty}^{>}[\vec{s}; 1/q]$  denotes the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  of the  $Z_n^{>}$ -function of Definition 5 with  $q$  replaced by  $1/q$ . As noted by one of the referees, often more than one  $q$ -analog is possible. Zudilin [34] has considered the sums

$$\sum_{k_1 > \dots > k_m > 0} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{q^{k_j s_j}}{(1 - q^{k_j})^{s_j}}.$$

See also [29,24,25]. The reason why the particular  $q$ -analog used in this paper was chosen is that, in addition to giving an intriguing extension of previously published results [2,16,28], it seemed a fairly natural choice based on the ideas in the related works [8,12,14,15].

Fu and Lascoux [17] have generalized (1.4) in a different direction. They proved that if  $n$  and  $m$  are positive integers, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \geq k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 1} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{a - bq^{k_j}}{c - zq^{k_j}} \\ &= \frac{c^n (1 - zq/c)_q^n}{(1 - q)_q^n (az - bc)^{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \\ & \times \frac{(-1)^{k-1} q^{k(k+1)/2 - nk} (1 - q^k) (a - bq^k)^{m+n-1}}{(c - zq^k)^{m+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

Letting  $c = z = 1$ ,  $a = 0$ ,  $b = -1$  in (7.1) gives (1.4).

## References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, R. Askey, R. Roy, *Special Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [2] G.E. Andrews, K. Uchimura, *Identities in combinatorics IV: differentiation and harmonic numbers*, *Utilitas Math.* 28 (1985) 265–269.
- [3] D. Borwein, J.M. Borwein, D.M. Bradley, *Parametric Euler sum identities*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, to appear (Preprint: May 3, 2005, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.CA/0505058>).
- [4] J.M. Borwein, D.M. Bradley, *On two fundamental identities for Euler sums*, Preprint: February 1, 2005, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.NT/0502034>
- [5] J.M. Borwein, D.J. Broadhurst, D.M. Bradley, *Evaluations of  $k$ -fold Euler/Zagier sums: a compendium of results for arbitrary  $k$* , *Electron. J. Combin.* 4 (2) (1997) #R5 (Wilf Festschrift).
- [6] J.M. Borwein, D.J. Broadhurst, D.M. Bradley, P. Lisoněk, *Combinatorial aspects of multiple zeta values*, *Electron. J. Combin.* 5 (1) (1998) R38 (Preprint: December 2, 1998, <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.NT/9812020>).
- [7] J.M. Borwein, D.J. Broadhurst, D.M. Bradley, P. Lisoněk, *Special values of multiple polylogarithms*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 353 (3) (2001) 907–941 (Preprint: October 8, 1999, <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.CA/9910045>).

- 1 [8] D. Bowman, D.M. Bradley, Multiple polylogarithms: a brief survey, in: B.C. Berndt, K. Ono (Eds.),  
 3 Proceedings of a Conference on  $q$ -Series with Applications to Combinatorics, Number Theory and  
 Physics, American Mathematical Society, Contemporary Mathematics 291 (2001) 71–92 (Preprint:  
<http://arXiv.org/abs/math.CA/0310062>).
- 5 [9] D. Bowman, D.M. Bradley, The algebra and combinatorics of shuffles and multiple zeta values, *J. Combin.*  
*Theory Ser. A* 97 (1) (2002) 43–61 (Preprint: <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.CO/0310082>).
- 7 [10] D. Bowman, D.M. Bradley, Resolution of some open problems concerning multiple zeta values of arbitrary  
 depth, *Compositio Math.* 139 (1) (2003) 85–100 (Preprint: <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.CA/0310061>).
- 9 [11] D. Bowman, D.M. Bradley, J. Ryoo, Some multi-set inclusions associated with shuffle convolutions and  
 multiple zeta values, *European J. Combin.* 24 (2003) 121–127.
- 11 [12] D.M. Bradley, Multiple  $q$ -zeta values, *J. Algebra* 283 (2) (2005) 752–798 (doi: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.  
 2004.09.017. Preprint: February 6, 2004, <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.QA/0402093>).
- 13 [13] D.M. Bradley, Partition identities for the multiple zeta function, in: T. Aoki, S. Kanemitsu, M. Nakahara,  
 Y. Ohno (Eds.), *Zeta Functions, Topology, and Quantum Physics*, Springer Series: Developments  
 15 in Mathematics, vol. 14, 2005, XVI, Hardcover ISBN: 0-387-24972-9, Preprint: February 6, 2004,  
<http://arXiv.org/abs/math.CO/0402091>, to appear.
- 17 [14] D.M. Bradley, On the sum formula for multiple  $q$ -zeta values, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, to appear (Preprint:  
 November 12, 2004, <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.QA/0411274>).
- 19 [15] D.M. Bradley, A  $q$ -analog of Euler’s decomposition formula for the double zeta function, Preprint: January  
 31, 2005, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.NT/0502002>.
- 21 [16] K. Dilcher, Some  $q$ -series identities related to divisor functions, *Discrete Math.* 145 (1995) 83–93.
- 23 [17] A.M. Fu, A. Lascoux,  $q$ -identities from Lagrange and Newton interpolation, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 31 (2003)  
 527–531.
- [18] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- 25 [19] M.E. Hoffman, Multiple harmonic series, *Pacific J. Math.* 152 (2) (1992) 275–290.
- [20] M.E. Hoffman, The algebra of multiple harmonic series, *J. Algebra* 194 (1997) 477–495.
- 27 [21] M.E. Hoffman, personal communication, August 12, 2004.
- [22] M.E. Hoffman, Y. Ohno, Relations of multiple zeta values and their algebraic expression, *J. Algebra* 262  
 29 (2003) 332–347 (<http://arXiv.org/abs/math.QA/0010140>).
- [23] V. Kac, P. Cheung, *Quantum Calculus*, Springer, New York, 2002.
- 31 [24] T. Kim,  $q$ -Volkenborn integration, *Russian J. Math. Phys.* 9 (3) (2002) 288–299.
- [25] T. Kim, On  $p$ -adic  $q$ - $L$ -functions and sums of powers, *Discrete Math.* 252 (1–3) (2002) 179–187.
- 33 [26] Y. Ohno, A generalization of the duality and sum formulas on the multiple zeta values, *J. Number Theory* 74  
 (1999) 39–43.
- 35 [27] J. Okuda, Y. Takeyama, On relations for the  $q$ -multiple zeta values, Preprint: February 10, 2004.  
 (<http://arXiv.org/abs/math.QA/0402152>).
- 37 [28] H. Prodinger, A  $q$ -analogue of a formula of Hernández obtained by inverting a result of Dilcher, *Austral. J.*  
*Combin.* 21 (2000) 271–274 (Preprint: <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.CO/9907029>).
- 39 [29] K. Schlesinger, Some remarks on  $q$ -deformed multiple polylogarithms, Preprint: (<http://arXiv.org/abs/math.QA/0111022>, 2001).
- 41 [30] L.J. Slater, *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1966.
- 43 [31] K. Uchimura, The average number of exchanges to insert a new element in a heap-ordered binary tree, *Trans.*  
*IECE Japan* 60-D (1977) 759–761.
- [32] K. Uchimura, An identity for the divisor generating function arising from sorting theory, *J. Combin. Theory*  
 45 *Ser. A* 31 (1981) 131–135.
- [33] J. Zhao,  $q$ -multiple zeta functions and  $q$ -multiple polylogarithms, Preprint: May 23, 2003.  
 47 (<http://arXiv.org/abs/math.QA/0304448>).
- [34] W. Żudilin, Algebraic relations for multiple zeta values, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 58 (1) (2003) 3–32 (in Russian);  
 49 translation in *Russian Math. Surveys* 58(1) (2003) 1–29.